International Journal of Innovative Computer Science and IT Research

Volume 01, Issue 02 – Mar-Apr 2025 • E-ISSN: 3067-1108 | P-ISSN: 3067-1094

Adaptive Artificial Intelligence Frameworks for Intelligent Information Processing

Prof. Davide Marino

Professor, University of Genoa, Italy

Abstract

The rapid growth of digital information has created substantial demands for intelligent systems capable of processing heterogeneous, dynamic, and continuously evolving data. Conventional artificial intelligence frameworks often depend on predefined models and relatively stable operating conditions, which can limit their effectiveness when data distributions, user requirements, environmental conditions, or operational contexts change. Adaptive Artificial Intelligence (AI) provides an alternative approach by enabling computational systems to learn from new information, modify internal representations, adjust decision strategies, and improve performance during operation. This paper examines adaptive AI frameworks for intelligent information processing and develops a conceptual framework integrating data acquisition, preprocessing, adaptive learning, contextual reasoning, decision optimization, explainability, and continuous feedback. The study adopts a structured literature-based methodology to examine major developments in machine learning, deep learning, reinforcement learning, self-adaptive systems, explainable AI, federated learning, and intelligent information systems.

Existing research indicates that machine learning can support runtime adaptation through updating rules, policies, and resource-management strategies, while adaptive information representations can improve the alignment between complex information environments and human cognitive requirements. The proposed framework organizes adaptive information processing into interconnected layers that continuously transform incoming information into context-sensitive knowledge and decisions. Particular attention is given to adaptability, processing efficiency, scalability, robustness, transparency, and security. The analysis further identifies challenges associated with data quality, concept drift, computational cost, model reliability, explainability, privacy, and continuous learning. The study concludes that adaptive AI frameworks can provide a flexible foundation for intelligent information processing when adaptation mechanisms are combined with appropriate governance, monitoring, and human oversight.

Keywords: Adaptive Artificial Intelligence, Intelligent Information Processing, Machine Learning, Deep Learning, Context Awareness, Self-Adaptive Systems, Explainable AI, Intelligent Systems

1. Introduction

The expansion of digital platforms, connected devices, cloud infrastructures, and intelligent applications has significantly increased the volume, diversity, and velocity of information available to computational systems. Traditional information-processing architectures commonly operate through predetermined rules, fixed parameters, or models trained under relatively stable assumptions. Such approaches can

Volume 01, Issue 02 – Mar-Apr 2025 • E-ISSN: 3067-1108 | P-ISSN: 3067-1094

become less effective when incoming information changes continuously or when systems must operate across multiple contexts. Intelligent information processing therefore increasingly requires computational frameworks capable of learning from changing information and modifying their behavior accordingly. Recent research on intelligent systems highlights the growing importance of machine learning, deep learning, multimodal processing, and hybrid intelligent architectures for detection, analysis, and decision-making.

Adaptive Artificial Intelligence represents an important development in this direction because it enables AI systems to adjust their internal models, parameters, representations, or decisions in response to new information. Adaptive AI differs from conventional static AI by emphasizing continuous or context-sensitive adjustment rather than relying exclusively on a fixed model. Recent work describes adaptive AI as an approach in which systems can independently learn, modify, and optimize operations using experience and real-time information. This capability is particularly relevant for information-processing environments characterized by uncertainty, changing data distributions, user diversity, and dynamic operational requirements.

Intelligent information processing involves multiple computational activities, including information acquisition, classification, pattern recognition, semantic interpretation, prediction, reasoning, decision support, and knowledge generation. Adaptive mechanisms can be integrated at each of these stages. For example, machine learning models can update prediction strategies, reinforcement learning can modify action policies, and contextual mechanisms can adjust information representation according to task requirements. Research on adaptive cognitive fit has specifically argued that AI can dynamically modify information representations in response to complex information characteristics and user requirements.

Self-adaptive computing provides another important foundation for adaptive AI. Self-adaptive systems are designed to modify their behavior during runtime according to changes in their environment while maintaining system objectives. Research in this area identifies capabilities such as self-configuration, self-optimization, self-healing, and self-protection as important characteristics of adaptive computational systems. Machine learning extends these capabilities by allowing systems to derive adaptation strategies from data instead of relying solely on manually specified rules.

The increasing development of foundation models, multimodal AI, intelligent agents, and adaptive learning architectures further expands the scope of intelligent information processing. Modern systems increasingly combine text, images, speech, sensor information, and structured data within unified processing pipelines. Research on foundation models emphasizes their role in intelligent decision-making by integrating diverse modalities and supporting complex adaptive decision processes. Consequently, there is a need for an integrated framework that connects adaptive learning, contextual information processing, decision optimization, explainability, and continuous feedback.

This study therefore develops a conceptual framework for adaptive AI-based intelligent information processing. The objective is not to propose a single algorithm but to integrate complementary computational mechanisms into an adaptable architecture. The framework emphasizes continuous data interpretation, context-aware adaptation, model optimization, feedback-driven learning, and responsible information processing. It also considers practical challenges related to scalability, data quality, security, transparency, and computational resources.

2. Objectives

The primary objective of this study is to develop a conceptual framework for adaptive artificial intelligence systems capable of intelligent information processing under changing data and operational conditions. The study focuses on integrating adaptive learning mechanisms with contextual reasoning, information representation, decision optimization, and continuous feedback.

2.1 Development of an Adaptive Information-Processing Architecture

The first objective is to identify the major architectural components required for adaptive intelligent information processing. The framework considers data acquisition, preprocessing, feature extraction, learning, contextual interpretation, reasoning, decision generation, and feedback as interconnected components. The objective is to establish an architecture in which information-processing stages can respond to changing inputs instead of functioning as isolated static modules.

2.2 Integration of Learning and Contextual Adaptation

The second objective is to examine how supervised learning, unsupervised learning, reinforcement learning, deep learning, and context-aware mechanisms can support continuous adaptation. The study emphasizes the ability of AI systems to detect changing conditions, revise representations, optimize processing strategies, and maintain performance over time.

2.3 Identification of Technical and Operational Challenges

The third objective is to identify major challenges affecting adaptive AI implementation. These include concept drift, data quality, computational complexity, model transparency, privacy, security, scalability, and continuous validation. The study also considers the importance of human oversight and governance for reliable deployment.

3. Review of Literature

3.1 Adaptive Artificial Intelligence and Self-Adaptive Systems

Adaptive AI builds upon the broader concept of intelligent systems that can change their behavior according to new information or environmental conditions. Traditional machine learning generally learns a model from historical training data and applies that model to new observations. Adaptive approaches extend this paradigm by incorporating mechanisms through which models, parameters, policies, or representations can be updated when operating conditions change.

Self-adaptive systems have historically provided a conceptual basis for runtime adaptation. Their architecture commonly involves monitoring environmental conditions, analyzing deviations, planning an adaptation response, and executing the required changes. Machine learning can strengthen this feedback loop by learning relationships between system conditions and effective adaptation strategies. A systematic literature review of machine learning in self-adaptive systems found that learning has been applied to update adaptation rules and policies and to manage resources while balancing system qualities.

Adaptive AI is particularly important in information environments where data distributions are not stationary. Changes in user behavior, network conditions, sensor streams, market conditions, language patterns, and operational environments can create concept drift. A model that performs well during initial training may therefore experience declining performance when the underlying information

structure changes. Adaptive learning mechanisms can detect such changes and initiate model updates, parameter adjustment, or strategy modification.

Recent theoretical work has also explored continuously self-improving AI architectures involving dynamic knowledge structures, runtime architecture modification, and distributed learning. Such approaches demonstrate the broader research direction toward AI systems capable of structural rather than merely parameter-level adaptation.

3.2 Intelligent Information Processing and Adaptive Representation

Intelligent information processing extends beyond data classification to include interpretation, contextualization, knowledge extraction, reasoning, and decision support. Information may originate from structured databases, documents, images, audio streams, sensors, user interactions, or multimodal environments. Adaptive systems must therefore determine not only what information is available but also how that information should be represented and processed.

Adaptive cognitive fit research provides an important perspective by demonstrating how AI can dynamically modify information representations according to information characteristics and task requirements. The framework links information facets, representations, and human performance and argues that adaptive AI can help address cognitive challenges associated with complex information environments.

Modern intelligent information systems increasingly employ deep learning, natural language processing, multimodal learning, and foundation models. These technologies allow systems to process complex information patterns and construct richer representations. However, larger models also create challenges involving computational cost, transparency, reliability, and deployment efficiency. Therefore, adaptation must include not only model learning but also decisions about representation, computational resources, and system behavior.

3.3 Explainability, Reliability, and Responsible Adaptation

Adaptation introduces an important reliability challenge because a continuously changing model may produce different outputs under evolving conditions. Explainability is therefore important for understanding why a system modified its processing strategy or generated a particular result. Recent research on AI system-level properties identifies explainability, transparency, and reliability as important characteristics influencing the use of AI systems.

Explainable AI techniques can provide information about feature importance, model decisions, prediction confidence, or influential inputs. However, explanation mechanisms must themselves remain reliable when models adapt. An explanation generated for an earlier model state may not accurately represent a later state. Consequently, adaptive frameworks should incorporate continuous monitoring of both model performance and explanation quality.

Responsible adaptation also requires attention to privacy, security, fairness, and governance. Federated learning provides one potential mechanism for distributed adaptation because models can learn across decentralized data environments without necessarily centralizing raw data. Nevertheless, federated architectures introduce additional challenges related to communication efficiency, malicious participants, model aggregation, and heterogeneous data distributions.

International Journal of Innovative Computer Science and IT Research

Volume 01, Issue 02 – Mar-Apr 2025 • E-ISSN: 3067-1108 | P-ISSN: 3067-1094

4. Methodology

4.1 Research Design

This study uses a structured conceptual and literature-based research design to examine adaptive AI frameworks for intelligent information processing. The approach synthesizes established research on machine learning, self-adaptive systems, intelligent information systems, deep learning, explainable AI, reinforcement learning, and emerging adaptive AI architectures. The purpose is to identify recurring architectural components and develop an integrated conceptual framework rather than conduct a primary experimental evaluation.

The research process consisted of four broad activities: identification of relevant theoretical concepts, analysis of adaptive information-processing mechanisms, comparison of architectural components, and development of the proposed framework. Literature was examined with particular attention to the relationship between incoming information, adaptation mechanisms, processing strategies, decision outputs, and feedback. The framework was subsequently organized into functional layers to clarify how adaptive information processing can operate as a continuous computational cycle.

4.2 Adaptive Information Processing Components

The proposed architecture integrates several computational mechanisms that collectively support intelligent adaptation. Data acquisition provides continuous access to structured and unstructured information, while preprocessing removes noise and transforms heterogeneous inputs into computationally useful representations. Feature extraction and representation learning identify relevant patterns before adaptive models interpret changing information.

The learning layer provides the principal adaptation capability. Depending on the application, supervised learning can update predictive models, unsupervised learning can identify emerging patterns, reinforcement learning can optimize sequential decisions, and deep learning can process high-dimensional representations. Contextual reasoning then evaluates the relationship between information, environment, user requirements, and operational objectives. The resulting decision is monitored through a feedback mechanism that determines whether additional adaptation is required.

4.3 Proposed Adaptive AI Framework

The proposed framework consists of seven interconnected layers:

- **Layer 1 – Data Acquisition:** Collection of structured, semi-structured, unstructured, and multimodal information.
- **Layer 2 – Data Preparation:** Cleaning, normalization, integration, filtering, and feature extraction.
- **Layer 3 – Adaptive Representation:** Dynamic transformation of information into task-relevant representations.
- **Layer 4 – Intelligent Learning:** Application of machine learning, deep learning, reinforcement learning, or hybrid learning.
- **Layer 5 – Contextual Reasoning:** Interpretation of information according to environmental and operational context.
- **Layer 6 – Decision and Action:** Generation of predictions, recommendations, classifications, or automated actions.

International Journal of Innovative Computer Science and IT Research

Volume 01, Issue 02 – Mar-Apr 2025 • E-ISSN: 3067-1108 | P-ISSN: 3067-1094

- **Layer 7 – Feedback and Adaptation:** Monitoring performance and updating models, parameters, representations, or strategies.

The feedback layer connects the final stage back to earlier processing stages. This creates a continuous adaptive cycle rather than a one-directional information-processing pipeline.

4.4 Evaluation Dimensions

The framework is evaluated conceptually according to adaptability, information-processing efficiency, scalability, accuracy, robustness, explainability, security, and resource requirements. These dimensions were selected because adaptive intelligent systems must maintain useful performance while responding to changing conditions. Existing literature identifies data quality, scalability, ethical concerns, and complex adaptation as important challenges for intelligent systems.

The evaluation does not claim experimental numerical performance because no primary dataset or controlled benchmark was used. Instead, the dimensions provide a structured basis for comparing the functional requirements of adaptive information-processing architectures.

5. Analysis

5.1 Architecture of Adaptive Intelligent Information Processing

Adaptive AI frameworks transform conventional information-processing pipelines into dynamic learning systems. In a conventional architecture, data are acquired, processed, and passed through a predefined model to produce an output. In an adaptive architecture, the output is additionally evaluated against current environmental conditions and performance requirements. If the system detects degradation, changing patterns, or new contextual requirements, it can initiate adaptation.

The proposed framework therefore treats information processing as a feedback-driven process. Incoming data are transformed into representations, processed by adaptive learning models, interpreted in context, and converted into decisions. Monitoring mechanisms then assess whether the system remains suitable for the current environment.

Table 1: Core Components of the Proposed Adaptive AI Information-Processing Framework

Framework Component	Primary Function	Adaptation Mechanism	Expected Contribution
Data Acquisition	Collect heterogeneous information	Dynamic source selection	Broader information coverage
Data Preparation	Clean and organize data	Adaptive filtering and preprocessing	Improved input quality
Adaptive Representation	Construct useful information representations	Representation updating	Context-sensitive processing
Intelligent Learning	Learn patterns and relationships	Model/parameter updating	Improved predictive capability

International Journal of Innovative Computer Science and IT Research

Volume 01, Issue 02 – Mar-Apr 2025 • E-ISSN: 3067-1108 | P-ISSN: 3067-1094

Framework Component	Primary Function	Adaptation Mechanism	Expected Contribution
Contextual Reasoning	Interpret information within context	Context-sensitive inference	More relevant outputs
Decision Layer	Generate predictions or actions	Policy and strategy adaptation	Responsive decision support
Feedback Layer	Monitor system behavior	Continuous model adjustment	Long-term adaptability

Interpretation: Table 1 demonstrates that adaptation should not be restricted to the machine learning component alone. Data preparation, representation, reasoning, decision generation, and monitoring can also require adaptive mechanisms.

This layered approach supports more comprehensive information processing because changes detected at one stage can influence subsequent processing decisions. The feedback layer is particularly important because it allows the system to detect performance degradation and initiate corrective adaptation.

5.2 Comparative Analysis of Adaptive Learning Mechanisms

Different learning approaches provide different forms of adaptability. Supervised learning is effective when labeled data are available and the desired output is known. Unsupervised learning is useful for discovering changing structures without predefined labels. Reinforcement learning is appropriate when adaptation involves sequential decisions and feedback from an environment.

Deep learning provides powerful representation capabilities but may require substantial computational resources and large datasets. Federated learning can support distributed adaptation in situations where data cannot easily be centralized. Hybrid AI combines multiple approaches and can integrate symbolic reasoning with statistical learning.

Table 2: Comparison of Learning Mechanisms for Adaptive Information Processing

Learning Approach	Adaptation Capability	Major Strength	Key Limitation	Suitable Information-Processing Context
Supervised Learning	Moderate to High	Predictive accuracy with labeled data	Requires labeled examples	Classification and prediction
Unsupervised Learning	High	Detects emerging patterns	Interpretation can be difficult	Clustering and anomaly detection

International Journal of Innovative Computer Science and IT Research

Volume 01, Issue 02 – Mar-Apr 2025 • E-ISSN: 3067-1108 | P-ISSN: 3067-1094

Learning Approach	Adaptation Capability	Major Strength	Key Limitation	Suitable Information-Processing Context
Reinforcement Learning	High	Sequential decision adaptation	Training complexity	Dynamic decision environments
Deep Learning	High	Complex feature representation	Computational cost	Multimodal and high-dimensional data
Federated Learning	High	Distributed learning	Communication and security challenges	Privacy-sensitive environments
Hybrid AI	Very High	Combines complementary methods	Architectural complexity	Complex intelligent systems

Interpretation: Table 2 indicates that no single learning approach satisfies all adaptive information-processing requirements. Supervised learning provides strong predictive capabilities but depends on suitable labeled data. Unsupervised and reinforcement learning offer greater flexibility in changing environments, while deep learning provides advanced representation capabilities.

Federated and hybrid approaches extend adaptation to distributed and heterogeneous environments. Therefore, framework selection should be based on information characteristics, adaptation requirements, computational resources, and governance constraints.

5.3 Intelligent Information Processing Cycle

The adaptive processing cycle can be represented conceptually as:

Input Information → Preprocessing → Representation → Learning → Contextual Reasoning → Decision → Monitoring → Feedback → Adaptation

The cycle begins with continuous information acquisition and ends with feedback-driven modification. Unlike static information-processing systems, the proposed framework permits adaptation at multiple stages. For example, changing data quality may trigger preprocessing adjustments, concept drift may trigger model updating, and changing user requirements may trigger representation changes.

This approach is consistent with research on self-adaptive systems, where runtime monitoring and adaptation are central characteristics. Machine learning-based self-adaptation has been studied for updating rules, policies, and resource-management strategies.

6. Discussion

6.1 Adaptability and Context-Aware Processing

The primary contribution of adaptive AI to intelligent information processing is its capacity to respond to changing conditions. Static models assume that relationships observed during training remain

sufficiently stable during deployment. Adaptive systems instead recognize that information environments can change over time. This makes them particularly relevant for real-time monitoring, cybersecurity, intelligent networks, recommendation systems, autonomous applications, and dynamic decision-support systems.

Context awareness further improves adaptability because the same information may have different meanings under different circumstances. An adaptive system can incorporate environmental conditions, user profiles, temporal factors, task requirements, and system states into its processing strategy. Such context-sensitive behavior is especially important when information is heterogeneous or ambiguous.

6.2 Integration of AI Learning and Information Representation

Adaptive information processing should address both what a system learns and how information is represented. A model may continue learning while using an outdated representation that does not adequately reflect current tasks. Adaptive representation mechanisms therefore provide an important complement to adaptive learning.

Research on adaptive cognitive fit demonstrates the relevance of dynamically adjusting information representations according to information characteristics and task demands. The proposed framework extends this perspective by integrating adaptive representation with learning, contextual reasoning, and feedback.

6.3 Explainability and Human Oversight

Continuous adaptation creates a need for transparent monitoring. Users and system administrators must understand whether an AI model has changed, why it changed, and whether the change improved system performance. Explainability can support this process by providing interpretable information about model decisions and influential inputs.

Human oversight remains particularly relevant in high-impact applications. Adaptive systems should therefore include mechanisms for performance thresholds, model validation, rollback, auditing, and intervention. Recent research emphasizes system-level properties such as explainability, transparency, and reliability as important dimensions of AI system use.

7. Challenges

Adaptive AI systems face several technical challenges. The first is concept drift, where the statistical characteristics of incoming information change over time. If an adaptive system does not detect drift accurately, it may update its model unnecessarily or fail to adapt when adaptation is required. Data quality is another concern because noisy, incomplete, biased, or inconsistent information can cause inappropriate model changes.

The second challenge concerns computational scalability. Continuous learning, model retraining, multimodal processing, and real-time inference can require substantial computational resources. Large models can create additional latency and energy requirements. Edge computing can reduce communication delays, but edge environments often have limited memory and processing capabilities.

The third challenge involves security and privacy. Adaptive systems continuously receive new information, creating opportunities for data poisoning, adversarial manipulation, model extraction, or

unauthorized access. Federated learning can reduce the need to centralize raw data but does not automatically eliminate security risks.

The fourth challenge is explainability and validation. A model that changes over time requires continuous evaluation. Existing explanations may become outdated after model adaptation. Therefore, adaptive AI requires monitoring systems capable of tracking both model performance and behavioral changes.

The fifth challenge involves governance and human control. Fully autonomous adaptation can create unexpected behaviors when the system encounters conditions outside its original operating assumptions. Practical deployments therefore require adaptation boundaries, monitoring thresholds, validation procedures, and mechanisms for human intervention.

8. Future Research

Future research should investigate continuous learning architectures capable of adapting models without requiring complete retraining. Incremental learning, online learning, continual learning, and efficient parameter updating can reduce computational requirements while maintaining responsiveness to new information. Research should also explore multimodal adaptive intelligence. Future information-processing systems will increasingly combine text, image, audio, video, sensor, and structured data. Adaptive mechanisms will need to determine how different information modalities should be weighted and integrated under changing contextual conditions.

Another important direction is adaptive explainability. Explainable AI methods should evolve together with changing models so that users can understand the current reasoning process rather than relying on explanations associated with earlier model states. Future work should further examine federated and decentralized adaptive AI for privacy-sensitive applications. Such systems could support collaborative learning across organizations while reducing the need to centralize raw information.

Finally, research should develop standardized benchmarks for adaptive AI. Existing evaluation approaches often emphasize static accuracy, while adaptive systems require additional measurements such as adaptation speed, recovery time, stability, computational cost, drift detection accuracy, explanation consistency, and long-term robustness.

9. Conclusion

Adaptive Artificial Intelligence provides an important foundation for developing intelligent information-processing systems capable of operating in dynamic and heterogeneous computational environments. Unlike static AI architectures, adaptive frameworks can modify models, representations, policies, and processing strategies according to new information and changing contextual conditions. This capability is increasingly important because modern information environments are characterized by continuous data generation, changing user requirements, multimodal information, and evolving operational conditions. Research on intelligent systems and self-adaptive architectures supports the importance of combining learning, contextual interpretation, monitoring, and feedback mechanisms for dynamic information processing.

The proposed framework integrates data acquisition, preprocessing, adaptive representation, intelligent learning, contextual reasoning, decision generation, and continuous feedback into a unified architecture. The analysis demonstrates that adaptation should occur across multiple processing layers

rather than being restricted to model retraining. Supervised learning, unsupervised learning, reinforcement learning, deep learning, federated learning, and hybrid AI each provide different capabilities for adaptive information processing. Consequently, the selection of an appropriate mechanism should depend on data characteristics, contextual requirements, computational resources, privacy conditions, and system objectives.

The study also identifies important challenges associated with concept drift, data quality, computational scalability, security, privacy, explainability, and governance. Future adaptive AI systems should therefore combine learning flexibility with strong monitoring, validation, transparency, and human oversight. The continuing development of multimodal AI, intelligent agents, federated learning, and continuously adaptive architectures creates opportunities for increasingly responsive information-processing systems. Recent research on adaptive AI and intelligent decision-making further indicates that future systems will increasingly integrate diverse information modalities and adaptive decision mechanisms. Overall, adaptive AI provides a flexible conceptual foundation for intelligent information processing, particularly when continuous adaptation is supported by responsible system design and systematic performance evaluation.

References

1. Ahmed, S. F., Alam, M. S. B., Hassan, M., Rozbu, M. R., Ishtiak, T., Rafa, N., Mofijur, M., & Gandomi, A. H. (2023). Deep learning modelling techniques: Current progress, applications, advantages, and challenges. *Artificial Intelligence Review, 56*, 13521–13617. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-023-10466-8
2. Amel, K. R., et al. (2024). Synergies between machine learning and reasoning: An introduction. *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijar.2024.109206
3. Ofosu-Ampong, K. (2024). Artificial intelligence research: A review on dominant themes, methods, frameworks and future research directions. *Telematics and Informatics Reports, 14*, 100127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.teler.2024.100127
4. Khoei, T. T., Ould Slimane, H., & Kaabouch, N. (2023). Deep learning: Systematic review, models, challenges, and research directions. *Neural Computing and Applications, 35*, 23103–23124. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-023-08957-4
5. Kumar, P. (2024). Large language models (LLMs): Survey, technical frameworks, and future challenges. *Artificial Intelligence Review, 57*, 260. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-024-10888-y
6. Wang, L., Ma, C., Feng, X., Zhang, Z., Yang, H., Zhang, J., Chen, Z., Tang, J., Chen, X., Lin, Y., Zhao, W. X., Wei, Z., & Wen, J. (2024). A survey on large language model based autonomous agents. *Frontiers of Computer Science, 18*, 186345. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11704-024-40231-1
7. Li, X., Wang, S., Zeng, S., Wu, Y., & Yang, Y. (2024). A survey on LLM-based multi-agent systems: Workflow, infrastructure, and challenges. *Vicinagearth, 1*, 9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s44336-024-00009-2
8. Malerba, D., & Pasquadibisceglie, V. (2024). Data-centric AI. *Journal of Intelligent Information Systems, 62*, 1493–1502. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10844-024-00901-9
9. Zeng, Y., Liao, B., Li, Z., Hua, C., & Li, S. (2024). A comprehensive review of recent advances on intelligence algorithms and information engineering applications. *IEEE Access, 12*, 135886–135912. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3461756

10. Ahmed, S. F., et al. (2024). Performance enhancement of artificial intelligence: A survey. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications, 232,* 104034. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2024.104034
11. Jiao, L., Song, X., You, C., Liu, X., Li, L., Chen, P., Tang, X., Feng, Z., Liu, F., Guo, Y., Yang, S., & others. (2024). AI meets physics: A comprehensive survey. *Artificial Intelligence Review, 57,* 256. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-024-10874-4
12. Atienza, D., & Milano, M. (2024). Efficient high-resolution deep learning: A survey. *ACM Computing Surveys, 56*(7). https://doi.org/10.1145/3613612
13. Soori, M., Arezoo, B., & Dastres, R. (2023). Artificial intelligence, machine learning and deep learning in advanced robotics: A review. *Cognitive Robotics, 3,* 54–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogr.2023.04.001
14. Mikalef, P., & Gupta, M. (2021). Artificial intelligence capability: Conceptualization, measurement calibration, and empirical study on its impact on organizational creativity and firm performance. *Information & Management, 58*(3), 103434. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2020.103434
15. Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Ismagilova, E., Aarts, G., Coombs, C., Crick, T., Duan, Y., Dwivedi, R., Edwards, J., Eirug, A., Galanos, V., Ilavarasan, P. V., Janssen, M., Jones, P., Kar, A. K., Kizgin, H., Kronemann, B., Lal, B., Lucini, B., ... Williams, M. D. (2021). Artificial intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management, 57*, 101994. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.101994
16. Raisch, S., & Krakowski, S. (2021). Artificial intelligence and management: The automation–augmentation paradox. *Academy of Management Review, 46*(1), 192–210. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2018.0072
17. Keding, C. (2021). Understanding the interplay of artificial intelligence and strategic management: Four decades of research in review. *Management Review Quarterly, 71*, 91–134. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11301-020-00181-x
18. Shrestha, Y. R., Ben-Menahem, S. M., & von Krogh, G. (2019). Organizational decision-making structures in the age of artificial intelligence. *California Management Review, 61*(4), 66–83. https://doi.org/10.1177/0008125619862257
19. Russell, S., & Norvig, P. (2021). *Artificial intelligence: A modern approach* (4th ed.). Pearson.
20. Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y., & Courville, A. (2016). *Deep learning*. MIT Press.